

## MODULAR FORMS, DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY AND CONGRUENCES

MATIJA KAZALICKI AND ANTHONY J. SCHOLL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer type of congruences hold for weakly modular forms (modular forms that are permitted to have poles at cusps). Unlike the case of original congruences for cusp forms, these congruences are nontrivial even for congruence subgroups. On the way we provide an explicit interpretation of the de Rham cohomology groups associated to modular forms in terms of “differentials of the second kind”. As an example, we consider the space of cusp forms of weight 3 on a certain genus zero quotient of Fermat curve  $X^N + Y^N = Z^N$ . We show that the Galois representation associated to this space is given by a Grössencharacter of the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ . Moreover, for  $N = 5$  the space does not admit a “ $p$ -adic Hecke eigenbasis” for (non-ordinary) primes  $p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ , which provides a counterexample to Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer’s original speculation [2, 8, 9].

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer described a remarkable family of congruences they had discovered, involving the Fourier coefficients of modular forms on noncongruence subgroups. Their data suggested (see [9] for a precise conjecture) that the spaces of cusp forms of weight  $k$  for a noncongruence subgroup, for all but finitely many primes  $p$ , should possess a  $p$ -adic Hecke eigenbasis in the sense that Fourier coefficients  $a(n)$  of each basis element satisfy

$$a(pn) - A_p a(n) + \chi(p)p^{k-1}a(n/p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(k-1)(1+\text{ord}_p(n))}},$$

where  $A_p$  is an algebraic integer and  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character (depending on the basis element, but not on  $n$ ). This congruence relation is reminiscent of the relation between Fourier coefficients of Hecke eigenforms for congruence subgroups (which is surprising since there is no useful Hecke theory for modular forms on noncongruence subgroups).

Following work by Cartier [4], Ditters [6] and Katz [7], the second author [12] proved a substantial part of these congruences, in particular, the existence of a  $p$ -adic Hecke eigenbasis when the dimension of the space of cusp forms is one. There remain various questions concerning the optimal shape of these congruences in the case when the dimension of the space of cusp forms is greater than one, see [1, 9, 10].

In this paper we show that similar congruences (also initially discovered experimentally) hold for weakly modular forms (that is, modular forms which are permitted to have poles at cusps). Unlike the case of Atkin–Swinnerton-Dyer’s

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14F40, 11F33, 11F80 .

original congruences for cusp forms, these congruences are nontrivial even for congruence subgroups (because the Hecke theory of weakly modular forms is not so good). The simplest case is the weakly modular form of level 1 and weight 12

$$\begin{aligned} E_4(z)^6/\Delta(z) - 1464E_4(z)^3 &= q^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \\ &= q^{-1} - 142236q + 51123200q^2 + 39826861650q^3 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

For every prime  $p \geq 11$  and integer  $n$  with  $p^s | n$ , its coefficients satisfy the congruence

$$a(np) - \tau(p)a(n) + p^{11}a(n/p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{11s}}.$$

where  $\tau(n)$  is Ramanujan's function. (Note that the coefficients  $a(n)$  grow too rapidly to satisfy any multiplicative identities.) This example may be found in §6 below.

In [12] congruences were obtained by embedding the module of cusp forms of weight  $k$  (on a fixed subgroup  $\Gamma$ ) into a de Rham cohomology group  $DR(X, k)$ , where  $X$  is the modular curve associated to  $\Gamma$ . This cohomology group is the de Rham realisation of the motive [13] associated to the relevant space of modular forms. At a good prime  $p$ , crystalline theory endows  $DR(X, k) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  with a Frobenius endomorphism, whose action on  $q$ -expansions can be explicitly computed, and this gives rise to the Atkin–Swinnerton-Dyer congruences. (See the introduction of [12] for more explanation.) Here we observe that there is a simple description of  $DR(X, k)$  in terms of “forms of the second kind”. Curiously, such a description does not appear to be explicitly given anywhere in the literature (although it is implicit in Coleman's work on  $p$ -adic modular forms). The period isomorphism is particularly transparent in this interpretation.

In the second part of the paper we consider, for an odd integer  $N$ , the space of weight 3 cusp forms on a certain genus zero quotient of Fermat curves  $X^N + Y^N = Z^N$ . These cusp forms are CM forms in the sense that the Galois representation associated to them is given by a Grössencharacter of the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ . We show that for  $N = 5$  the space of weight 3 cusp forms does not admit a  $p$ -adic Hecke eigenbasis for primes  $p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$  (these are the primes for which the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius is non-ordinary, see §5 of [12]), which provides a counterexample to Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer's speculation [2, 9].

Independently, J. Kibelbek [8] has given an example of a space of weight two modular forms that does not admit a  $p$ -adic Hecke eigenbasis (also for non-ordinary primes  $p$ ). While Kibelbek's result follows from an inspection of Fourier coefficients of modular forms of a certain explicit base of the space, we provide a more theoretical explanation of the phenomenon by showing that the weakly modular forms play an important role in understanding the Atkin–Swinnerton-Dyer congruences when  $p$  is non-ordinary prime. This is in contrast to the case in which  $p$  is ordinary, when it is sufficient to study the action of Frobenius only on cusp forms (see the proof of Theorem 5.6. in [12]). For more details see §11.

## 2. SUMMARY OF THEORETICAL RESULTS

Let  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a subgroup of finite index. We choose a number field  $K = K_\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  and a model  $X_K$  over  $K$  for the compactified modular curve  $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}^*$  such that:

- the  $j$ -function defines a morphism  $\pi_K: X_K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1$ ; and
- the cusp  $\infty \in \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}^*$  is a rational point of  $X_K$ .

Let  $m$  be the width of the cusp  $\infty$ . Then the completed local ring  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,\infty}}$  equals  $K[[t]]$  for some  $t$  with  $\delta t^m = q$ , with  $\delta \in K^*$ .

Let  $X_K^o \subset X_K$  be the complement of the points where the covering  $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow X_K(\mathbb{C})$  is ramified. On  $X_K^o$  we have the standard line bundle  $\underline{\omega}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , such that modular forms of weight  $k$  are sections of  $\underline{\omega}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes k}$ , and the canonical isomorphism  $\psi_{\mathbb{C}}: \underline{\omega}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}}^1(\log \text{cusps})$ , identifying forms of weight 2 with holomorphic 1-forms on  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The fibre at infinity has a canonical generator  $\varepsilon_{\mathbb{C}} \in \underline{\omega}_{\mathbb{C}}(\infty)$ . If  $-1 \notin \Gamma$  we also assume that this structure comes from a triple  $(\underline{\omega}_K, \psi_K, \varepsilon_K \in \underline{\omega}_K(\infty))$  on  $X_K^o$ .

We choose a finite set  $S$  of primes of  $K$ , and write  $R = \mathfrak{o}_{K,S}$ , satisfying:

- $6m$  and  $\delta$  are in  $R^*$ ;
- there exists a smooth projective curve  $X/R$  with  $X_K = X \otimes_R K$ , and  $\pi_K$  extends to a finite morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$  which is étale away from  $j \in \{\infty, 0, 1728\}$ ;
- if  $-1 \notin \Gamma$ ,  $(\underline{\omega}_K, \psi_K, \varepsilon_K)$  extends to a triple  $(\underline{\omega}, \psi, \varepsilon)$  on  $X^o$ , with  $\underline{\omega}(\infty) = R\varepsilon$ .

Any modular or weakly modular form on  $\Gamma$  has a Fourier expansion at  $\infty$  which lies in  $\mathbb{C}((q^{1/m})) = \mathbb{C}((t))$ . For any subring  $R'$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing  $R$ , and any  $k \geq 2$ , let  $S_k(\Gamma, R')$ ,  $M_k(\Gamma, R')$  be the  $R'$ -modules of cusp (resp. modular) forms on  $\Gamma$  of weight  $k$  whose Fourier expansions at  $\infty$  lie in  $R'[[t]]$ . Standard theory shows that  $S_k(\Gamma, R)$ ,  $M_k(\Gamma, R)$  are locally free  $R$ -modules and that, for any  $R'$ ,

$$S_k(\Gamma, R') = S_k(\Gamma, R) \otimes_R R', \quad M_k(\Gamma, R') = M_k(\Gamma, R) \otimes_R R'$$

For any integer  $s$ , denote by  $M_s^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')$  the  $R'$ -module of weakly modular forms (meromorphic at all cusps) of weight  $s$  whose Fourier expansions at  $\infty$  lie in  $R'((t))$ , and let  $S_s^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')$  be the submodule consisting of those  $f \in M_s^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')$  whose constant term at each cusp vanishes.

It is well known that if  $k \geq 2$  there is a linear map

$$\partial^{k-1}: M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S_k^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$$

which on Fourier expansions (at any cusp) is given by  $(q d/dq)^{k-1}$ . Consequently  $\partial^{k-1}$  maps  $M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')$  into  $S_k^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')$ .

**Definition.** Suppose  $K \subset K' \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Define for  $k \geq 2$

$$DR(\Gamma, K', k) = \frac{S_k^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, K')}{\partial^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, K'))}$$

and

$$DR^*(\Gamma, K', k) = \frac{M_k^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, K')}{\partial^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, K'))}$$

It is clear that for every  $K'$ ,  $DR(\Gamma, K', k) = DR(\Gamma, K, k) \otimes_K K'$ , and similarly for  $DR^*$ .

If  $R \subset R' \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $f \in M_k^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')$ , the conditions on  $S$  imply that the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  at any cusp are integral over  $R'$ . Write the Fourier expansion of  $f$  at a cusp  $z$  of width  $m$  as

$$\tilde{f}_z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(f, z) q^{n/m}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $f \in M_k^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')$ . We say that  $f$  is *weakly exact* if, at each cusp  $z$  of  $\Gamma$ , and for each  $n < 0$ ,  $n^{-1}a_n(f, z)$  is integral over  $R'$ . We write  $M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Gamma, R')$  for the  $R'$ -module of weakly exact modular forms and  $S_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Gamma, R')$  for the submodule of weakly exact cusp forms.

It is clear that  $\partial^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R')) \subset S_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Gamma, R')$ .

**Definition.** Define for  $k \geq 2$

$$DR(\Gamma, R', k) = \frac{S_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Gamma, R')}{\partial^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R'))}$$

and

$$DR^*(\Gamma, R', k) = \frac{M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Gamma, R')}{\partial^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R'))}$$

If  $R' \supset \mathbb{Q}$  this obviously agrees with our earlier definition.

In §3, §4, and §5 we will prove that these groups enjoy the following properties.

- The  $R$ -modules  $DR(\Gamma, R, k)$  and  $DR^*(\Gamma, R, k)$  are locally free, and for every  $R' \supset R$  we have

$$DR(\Gamma, R', k) = DR(\Gamma, R, k) \otimes_R R', \quad DR^*(\Gamma, R', k) = DR^*(\Gamma, R, k) \otimes_R R'$$

- There exists for each  $k \geq 2$  a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S_k(\Gamma, R) & \longrightarrow & DR(\Gamma, R, k) & \longrightarrow & S_k(\Gamma, R)^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_k(\Gamma, R) & \longrightarrow & DR^*(\Gamma, R, k) & \longrightarrow & S_k(\Gamma, R)^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in which all the inclusions are the natural ones.

- Suppose that  $p$  is prime, and that for some embedding  $\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $R \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then there are canonical compatible endomorphisms  $\phi_p$  of  $DR(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)$ ,  $DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)$ . The characteristic polynomial  $H_p(T)$  of  $\phi_p$  on  $DR(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)$  has rational integer coefficients, and its roots are  $p^{k-1}$ -Weil numbers. Moreover

$$H_p(T) = (\text{constant})T^{2d_k} H_p(1/p^{k-1}T)$$

where  $d_k = \dim S_k(\Gamma)$ .

The characteristic polynomial of  $\phi_p$  on  $DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)/DR(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)$  has integer coefficients and its roots are of the form  $p^{k-1} \times (\text{root of unity})$ .

- Still assume that  $R \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ . There is a unique  $\gamma_p \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\gamma_p^m = \delta^{p-1}$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi}_p$  be the endomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_p((t))$  given by

$$\tilde{\phi}_p: \sum a_n t^n \mapsto p^{k-1} \sum a_n \gamma_p^n t^{np}.$$

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k) & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p((t))}{\partial^{k-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p((t)))} \\ \phi_p \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_p \\ DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k) & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p((t))}{\partial^{k-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p((t)))} \end{array}$$

commutes.

- Write  $\langle k-1 \rangle = \inf\{\text{ord}_p(p^j/j!) \mid j \geq k-1\}$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)^{(p)} &= M_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p) + p^{\langle k-1 \rangle} DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k) \\ &\subset \frac{M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Gamma, R')}{p^{\langle k-1 \rangle} \partial^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(\Gamma, R'))} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi_p$  preserves  $DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)^{(p)}$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p((t))}{p^{\langle k-1 \rangle} \partial^{k-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p((t)))} \\ \phi_p \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi}_p \\ DR^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p, k)^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p((t))}{p^{\langle k-1 \rangle} \partial^{k-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p((t)))} \end{array}$$

commutes.

### Congruences

We continue to assume that  $R \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}_F$  for a finite extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Extend  $\phi_p$  to a  $\mathfrak{o}$ -linear endomorphism of  $DR^*(\Gamma, \mathfrak{o}, k)$ . Let  $f \in M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{o})$ , with Fourier expansion at infinity

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n) q^{n/m} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b(n) t^n, \quad b(n) \in \mathfrak{o}.$$

Let  $H = \sum_{j=0}^r A_j T^j \in \mathfrak{o}[T]$  such that the image of  $f$  in  $DR^*(\Gamma, \mathfrak{o}, k)$  is annihilated by  $H(\phi_p)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (i) *The coefficients  $a(n)$  satisfy the congruences: if  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p^s | n$  then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^r p^{(k-1)j} A_j a(n/p^j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(k-1)s}}.$$

(ii) *If moreover  $f \in M_k(\Gamma, \mathfrak{o})$  then these congruences hold mod  $p^{(k-1)s + \langle k-1 \rangle}$ .*

Here the left hand side is interpreted as

$$\delta^{-n/m} \sum_{j=0}^r p^{(k-1)j} A_j \gamma_p^{n(p^j-1)/(p-1)} b(n/p^j) \in \delta^{-n/m} \mathfrak{o}$$

which is the product of a unit and an element of  $\mathfrak{o}$ , and we adopt the usual convention that  $a(n) = b(n) = 0$  if  $n \notin \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [12, Thm. 5.4]). Part (ii) is one of the main results of [12].

*Proof.* The properties above show that

$$\sum c_n t^n := H(\tilde{\phi}_p)(\tilde{f}) \in \partial^{k-1}(\mathfrak{o}((t)))$$

or equivalently that for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c_n \in n^{k-1} \mathfrak{o}$ . Applying  $H(\tilde{\phi}_p)$  to  $\tilde{f}$  term-by-term, one obtains the congruences (i). If  $f \in M_k(\Gamma, \mathfrak{o})$  then  $H(\tilde{\phi}_p)(\tilde{f}) \in p^{\langle k-1 \rangle} \text{Im}(\partial^{k-1})$ , giving the stronger congruences (ii).  $\square$

## 3. REVIEW OF [12]

Let  $R$  be a field or Dedekind domain of characteristic zero. In this section we will work with modular curves over  $R$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve over  $R$ , whose fibres need not be geometrically connected, equipped with a finite morphism  $g: X \rightarrow X'$ , whose target  $X'$  is a modular curve for a representable moduli problem. In practice we have in mind for  $X'$  the basechange from  $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$  to  $R$  of one of the following curves:

- (i)  $X_1(N)$  (for some  $N \geq 5$ ), the modular curve over  $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$  parameterising (generalised) elliptic curves with a section of order  $N$ ;
- (ii)  $X(N)$  (for some  $N \geq 3$ ), parameterising elliptic curves with a full level  $N$  structure  $\alpha: (\mathbb{Z}/N)^2 \rightarrow E$ ,
- (iii)  $X(N)^{\text{arith}}$  (for some  $N \geq 3$ ), parameterising elliptic curves with “arithmetic level  $N$  structure of determinant one”  $\alpha: \mathbb{Z}/N \times \mu_N \rightarrow E$

and we will limit ourselves to these cases, although most things should work if  $X'$  is replaced by some other modular curve (perhaps for an “exotic” moduli problem).

We let  $Y' \subset X'$  be the open subset parameterising true elliptic curves, and  $Z' \subset X'$  the complementary reduced closed subscheme (the cuspidal subscheme). We make the following hypotheses on the morphism  $g$ :

- (A)  $g: X \rightarrow X'$  is étale over  $Y'$
- (B)  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = K$  is a field.

We write  $Y, Z$  for the (reduced) inverse images of  $Y', Z'$  in  $X$ , and  $j: Y \hookrightarrow X$  for the inclusion.

A *cuspidal* component  $z \subset Z$ . The hypotheses imply (by Abhyankar’s lemma) that  $g$  is tamely ramified along  $Z'$ . We have  $z = \text{Spec } R_z$ , where  $R_z/R$  is finite and étale. One knows that a formal uniformising parameter along a cusp of  $X'$  may be taken to be  $q^{1/m}$  for some  $m|N$ , and we may choose therefore a parameter  $t_z \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,z}}$  such that  $\delta_z t_z^{m_z} = q$  for some  $m_z \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_z \in R_z^*$ . Moreover  $m_z$  (the *width* of the cusp  $z$ ) is invertible in  $R$ .

Because  $Y'$  represents a moduli problem, there is a universal elliptic curve  $\pi: E' \rightarrow Y'$ , which in each of the cases (i–iii) extends to a stable curve of genus one  $\bar{\pi}: \bar{E}' \rightarrow X'$ , with a section  $e: X' \rightarrow \bar{E}'$  extending the zero section of  $E'$ . We let  $\underline{\omega}_{X'} = e^* \Omega_{\bar{E}'/X'}^2$  be the cotangent bundle along  $e$ , and  $\underline{\omega}_X$  its pullback to  $X$ .

If  $U$  is any  $R$ -scheme we shall simply write  $\Omega_U^1$  for the module of relative differentials  $\Omega_{U/R}^1$ .

The module of ( $R$ -valued) modular forms of weight  $k \geq 0$  on  $X$  is by definition

$$M_k(X) = H^0(X, \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k}).$$

There is a well-known canonical “Kodaira–Spencer” isomorphism

$$KS(X'): \underline{\omega}_{X'}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{X'}^1(\log Z').$$

Hypothesis (A) implies that  $g^* \Omega_{X'}^1(\log Z') = \Omega_X^1(\log Y)$ , and therefore  $KS(X')$  pulls back to give an isomorphism

$$KS(X): \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_X^1(\log Z).$$

One therefore has

$$M_k(X) = H^0(X, \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log Z))$$

and the submodule of cusp forms is

$$S_k(X) = H^0(X, \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1).$$

Serre duality then gives a canonical isomorphism of free  $R$ -modules

$$S_k(X)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X, \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes 2-k}).$$

The relative de Rham cohomology of the family  $E' \rightarrow Y'$  is a rank 2 locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_{Y'} = R^1\pi_*\Omega_{E'/Y'}^*$ , which carries an integrable connection  $\nabla$ . Denote by  $\underline{\omega}_{Y'}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_Y$  the pullbacks of  $\underline{\omega}_{Y'}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{Y'}$  to  $Y$ .

There is a canonical extension (in the sense of [5]) of  $(\mathcal{E}_{Y'}, \nabla)$  to a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_{X'}$  with logarithmic connection

$$\nabla: \mathcal{E}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{X'} \otimes \Omega_{X'}^1(\log Z')$$

whose residue map  $\text{Res}_\nabla$  — defined by the commutativity of the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_{X'} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathcal{E}_{X'} \otimes \Omega_{X'}^1(\log Z') \\ (-) \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow id \otimes \text{Res}_{Z'} \\ \mathcal{E}_{X'} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z'} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_\nabla} & \mathcal{E}_{X'} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z'} \end{array}$$

— is nilpotent. The canonical extension may be described explicitly using the Tate curve: in the cases (i–iii), each cusp  $z \subset Z'$  is the spectrum of a cyclotomic extension  $R' = R[\zeta_M]$  (for some  $M|N$  depending on  $z$ ). The basechange of  $E'$  to  $R'((q^{1/m}))$  via the  $q$ -expansion map is canonically isomorphic to the pullback of the Tate curve  $\text{Tate}(q)/\mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q^{1/m}))$ , and there is a canonical basis

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{dR}}^1(\text{Tate}(q)/\mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q^{1/m}))) &= \mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q^{1/m})) \cdot \omega_{\text{can}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q^{1/m})) \cdot \xi_{\text{can}} \\ \nabla(\omega_{\text{can}}) &= \xi_{\text{can}} \otimes dq/q, \quad \nabla(\xi_{\text{can}}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for the de Rham cohomology of the Tate curve. The canonical extension of  $\mathcal{E}_{Y'}$  to  $X'$  is then the unique extension for which, at each cusp  $z$  as above,  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{X',z}$  is generated by  $\omega_{\text{can}}$  and  $\xi_{\text{can}}$ . In particular, in the basis  $(\omega_{\text{can}}, \xi_{\text{can}})$  the residue map at a cusp  $z$  of width  $m$  has matrix

$$\text{Res}_{\nabla,z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write  $\underline{\omega}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_X$  for the pullbacks of  $\underline{\omega}_{X'}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{X'}$  to  $X$ . Since the residues are nilpotent,  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is equal to the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{E}_Y$ .

The Hodge filtration of  $\mathcal{E}_{Y'}$  extends to give a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F^1\mathcal{E}_X = gr_F^1\mathcal{E}_X = \underline{\omega} \longrightarrow F^0 = \mathcal{E}_X \longrightarrow \underline{\omega}^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

and the Kodaira–Spencer map is obtained (by tensoring with  $\underline{\omega}$ ) from the composite

$$\underline{\omega}_X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log Z) \rightarrow \underline{\omega}_X^\vee \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log Z)$$

In [12], some de Rham cohomology groups associated to modular forms were defined. Define, for an integer  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}) &= \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} := \text{Sym}^{k-2} \mathcal{E}_X, \\ \Omega^1(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}) &:= \nabla(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}) + \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^1 \subset \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log Z) \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\nabla^{(k-2)}: \Omega^0(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)})$$

be the  $(k-2)$ -th symmetric power of the connection  $\nabla$ . This makes  $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)})$  into a complex of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules with  $R$ -linear maps. Define

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} DR(Y, k) &:= H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^*(\log Z)), \\ DR(X, k) &:= H^1(X, \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)})) \end{aligned}$$

In the notation of §2 of [12],  $DR(X, k) = L_{k-2}(X, R)$  and  $DR(Y, k) = T_{k-2}(X, R)$ .

The Hodge filtration on  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}$  is the symmetric power of the Hodge filtration  $F^\bullet$  on  $\mathcal{E}_X$ : its associated graded is

$$\mathrm{gr}_F^j \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} = \begin{cases} \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes(k-2-2j)} & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq k-2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Define the filtration  $F^\bullet$  on the complex  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z)$  by

$$F^j(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^i(\log Z)) = F^{j-i}(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}) \otimes \Omega_X^i(\log Z).$$

Then the connection  $\nabla^{(k-2)}$  respects  $F^\bullet$ . On the associated graded,  $\nabla^{(k-2)}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear, and if  $(k-2)!$  is invertible in  $R$ , away from the extreme degrees it is an isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_F^0(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z)) &= \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes 2-k} \\ \mathrm{gr}_F^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z)) &= \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log Z)[-1] \\ \mathrm{gr}_F^j \nabla^{(k-2)}: \mathrm{gr}_F^j \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_F^{j-1} \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log Z) \quad \text{if } 0 < j < k-1 \end{aligned}$$

In fact,  $\mathrm{gr}_F^j \nabla^{(k-2)} = j(KS \otimes id_{\underline{\omega}^{\otimes k-2j}})$  if  $0 < j < k-1$ . Therefore from the spectral sequences for the cohomology of the filtered complexes

$$(\mathcal{E}_Y^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z), F^\bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}), F^\bullet)$$

we obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S_k(X) & \longrightarrow & DR(X, k) & \longrightarrow & S_k(X)^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \subset \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_k(X) & \longrightarrow & DR(Y, k) & \longrightarrow & S_k(X)^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$H^j(X, \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)})) = H^j(X, \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z)) = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq 1, k > 0.$$

More precisely, there are isomorphisms in the derived category

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_Y^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z) = [ \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes 2-k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}^{k-1}} \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log Z) ]$$

$$(3.3) \quad \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}) = [ \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes 2-k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}^{k-1}} \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \Omega_X^1 ]$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^{k-1}$  is a differential operator which is characterised by its effect on  $q$ -expansion:

$$\mathcal{D}^{k-1}(f \omega_{\mathrm{can}}^{2-k}) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-2)!} \left( d \frac{d}{dq} \right)^{k-1} (f) \omega_{\mathrm{can}}^{k-2} \otimes \frac{dq}{q}$$

(see [12, proof of 2.7(ii)]).

Finally note that from the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_Z} \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$



we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow DR(X, k) \longrightarrow DR(Y, k) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \Gamma(Z, \underline{\omega}_X^{\otimes k-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

#### 4. MODULAR FORMS OF THE SECOND AND THIRD KIND

For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and any  $R$ , define

$$M_k^{\text{wk}}(X) := \Gamma(Y, \underline{\omega}_Y^k),$$

the  $R$ -module of weakly (or meromorphic) modular forms of weight  $k$  on  $X$ . We say that an element of  $M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)$  is a weak cusp form if, at each cusp, its  $q$ -expansion has vanishing constant term. Let  $S_k^{\text{wk}}(X) \subset M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)$  denote the submodule of weak cusp forms.

Composing  $\mathcal{D}^{k-1}$  with the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism we obtain a  $R$ -linear map

$$\theta^{k-1}: M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X) \rightarrow M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)$$

which on  $q$ -expansions is given by  $(qd/dq)^{k-1}$ , and whose image is contained in  $S_k^{\text{wk}}(X)$ .

Suppose  $R = K$  is a field. Then one knows (cf. [5]) that the restriction map

$$H^*(X, \mathcal{E}_X^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Z)) \rightarrow H^*(Y, \mathcal{E}_Y^{(k-2)} \otimes \Omega_Y^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism, and since  $Y$  is affine, the cohomology group on the right can be computed as the cohomology of the complex of groups of global sections.

We therefore have the following description of the de Rham cohomology groups as “forms of the second and third kind”:

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $R$  is a field, there exist canonical isomorphisms*

$$DR(Y, k) = \frac{M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)}{\theta^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X))}, \quad DR(X, k) = \frac{S_k^{\text{wk}}(X)}{\theta^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X))}$$

*compatible with the inclusions on both sides. The Hodge filtrations on  $DR(Y, k)$  and  $DR(X, k)$  are induced by the inclusion  $M_k(X) \subset M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)$ .*

*Remarks.* (i) When  $k = 2$  we simply recover the classical formulae for the first de Rham cohomology of a smooth affine curve  $Y = X \setminus Z$  over a field of characteristic zero:

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(Y/K) = \frac{\Gamma(Y, \Omega_Y^1)}{d(\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y))}$$

and for the complete curve  $X$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/K) = \frac{\{\text{forms of the 2nd kind on } X, \text{ regular on } Y\}}{d(\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y))}$$

(ii) Suppose  $K = \mathbb{C}$  and  $Y(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$  is a classical modular curve. Then one has a natural isomorphism from  $DR(X, K)$  to Eichler–Shimura parabolic cohomology [14] given by periods:

$$f(z) \mapsto \left( \int_{z_0}^{\gamma(z_0)} P(z, 1) f(z) dz \right)_\gamma$$

for homogeneous  $P \in \mathbb{C}[T_0, T_1]$  of degree  $(k - 2)$ .

For general  $R$ , the description given in the theorem needs to be modified. Since the  $R$ -modules  $DR(Y, k)$  and  $DR(X, k)$  are locally free, and their formation commutes with basechange, restriction to  $Y$  induces an injective map

$$(4.2) \quad DR(Y, k) \rightarrow \frac{M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)}{\theta^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X))}.$$

For each cusp  $z \subset Z$ , let  $R_z = \Gamma(z, \mathcal{O}_z)$  and let  $t_z \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,z}}$  be a uniformising parameter on  $X$  along  $z$ . Say that  $f \in M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)$  is *weakly exact* if for every cusp  $z$ , the principal part of  $f$  at  $z$  is in the image of  $\theta^{k-1}$ . Explicitly, if the expansion of  $f$  at  $z$  is  $\sum a_n t_z^n \otimes \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k}$ , the condition is that  $a_n \in n^{k-1} R_z$  for every  $n < 0$ . Let

$$S^{\text{wk-ex}}(X) \subset M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(X) \subset M_k^{\text{wk}}(X)$$

denote the submodules of weakly exact cusp and modular forms, respectively.

If  $g \in M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X)$  then evidently  $\theta^{k-1}(g)$  is weakly exact.

**Theorem 4.3.** *For any  $R$  the maps (4.2) induce isomorphisms*

$$DR(Y, k) = \frac{M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(X)}{\theta^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X))}, \quad DR(X, k) = \frac{S_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(X)}{\theta^{k-1}(M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X))}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_{/Z} = \text{Spec } \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X,Z}}$  denote the formal completion of  $X$  along  $Z$ , and  $Y_{/Z} = X_{/Z} - Z$  the complement; thus

$$X_{/Z} = \coprod_z \text{Spec } R_z[[t_z]] \supset Y_{/Z} = \coprod_z \text{Spec } R_z((t_z)).$$

Then  $Y \coprod X_{/Z}$  is a faithfully flat affine covering of  $X$ , and so its Čech complex computes the cohomology of any complex of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules with  $R$ -linear maps. Applying this to the complex (3.2), we see that  $DR(X, k)$  is the  $H^1$  of the double complex of  $R$ -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(Y_{/Z}, \underline{\omega}^{2-k}) & \xrightarrow{\theta^{k-1}} & \Gamma(Y_{/Z}, \underline{\omega}^k) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ M_{2-k}^{\text{wk}}(X) \oplus \Gamma(X_{/Z}, \underline{\omega}^{2-k}) & \xrightarrow{\theta^{k-1}} & S_k^{\text{wk}}(X) \oplus \Gamma(X_{/Z}, \underline{\omega}^k) \end{array}$$

or equivalently the  $H^1$  of the complex

$$M_k^{\text{wk}}(X) \xrightarrow{\theta^{k-1}} S_k^{\text{wk}}(X) \xrightarrow{\beta} \frac{\Gamma(Y_{/Z}, \underline{\omega}^k)}{\Gamma(X_{/Z}, \underline{\omega}^k) + \theta^{k-1}\Gamma(Y_{/Z}, \underline{\omega}^{2-k})}$$

and  $S_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(X)$  is precisely  $\ker(\beta)$ . Likewise for  $DR(Y, k)$ .  $\square$

## 5. $q$ -EXPANSIONS AND CRYSTALLINE STRUCTURE

Let  $z \subset Z$  be a cusp, and write

$$\partial = q \frac{d}{dq} = m_z t_z \frac{d}{dt_z},$$

a derivation of  $R_z((t_z))$ . We have the local expansion maps

$$\text{loc}_z : DR(X, k) \rightarrow \frac{R_z[[t_z]]}{\partial^{k-1}(R_z[[t_z]])}, \quad DR(Y, k) \rightarrow \frac{R_z((t_z))}{\partial^{k-1}(R_z((t_z)))}$$

such that the restriction of  $f \in DR(X, k)$  to the formal neighbourhood of  $z$  is  $\text{loc}_z(f) \otimes \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k}$ .

Suppose now that  $R = \mathfrak{o}_K$  for a finite unramified extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let  $\sigma$  be the arithmetic Frobenius automorphism of  $K$ . For each  $z$ , denote also by  $\sigma$  the Frobenius automorphism of  $R_z$  (which is also an unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ). By Hensel's lemma there is a unique  $\gamma_z$  with

$$\gamma_z \in 1 + pR_z \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_z^{m_z} = \delta_z^p / \sigma(\delta_z).$$

The  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism  $q \mapsto q^p$  of  $R((q))$  then extends to a unique  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphism of  $R_z((t_z))$  whose reduction is Frobenius, given by

$$t_z \mapsto \gamma_z t_z^p$$

Then, as explained in §3 of [12], there are compatible  $\sigma$ -linear endomorphisms  $\phi$  of  $DR(X, k)$  and  $DR(Y, k)$ , with the property that

$$(5.1) \quad \text{loc}_z(f) = \sum a_n t_z^n \implies \text{loc}_z(\phi(f)) = p^{k-1} \sum \sigma(a_n) \gamma_z^n t_z^{np}$$

Let us assume that  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , so that  $\phi$  is now linear. Let  $z \subset Z$  be a cusp with  $R_z = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . If  $f \in M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(X)$ , write the local expansion of  $f$  at  $z$  as

$$(5.2) \quad f = \tilde{f} \otimes \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k}, \quad \tilde{f} = \sum b(n) t_z^n = \sum a(n) q^{n/m_z}, \quad b(n) = \delta_z^{n/m_z} a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Suppose that  $H(T) = \sum_{j=0}^r A_j T^j \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  satisfies  $H(\phi)(f) = 0$  in  $DR(Y, k)$ . Then  $\text{loc}_z(H(\phi)f) = 0$ , which is equivalent to the following congruences: if  $p^s | n$  then

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{j=0}^r p^{(k-1)j} A_j a(n/p^j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(k-1)s}}.$$

Here we follow the usual convention that  $a(n) = b(n) = 0$  for  $n$  not an integer, and the left hand side is interpreted as

$$\delta_z^{-n/m_z} \sum_{j=0}^r p^{(k-1)j} A_j \gamma_p^{n(p^j-1)/(p-1)} b(n/p^j) \in \delta_z^{-n/m_z} \mathbb{Z}_p$$

cf. [12, Thm, 5.4]. Putting this together we obtain the following extension of the ASD congruences to weakly modular forms:

**Theorem 5.4.** *Suppose that  $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/M]$  and that  $z$  is a cusp with  $R_z = R$ . Let  $f \in M_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(X)$ , with local expansion at  $z$  (5.2). Let  $p$  be a prime not dividing  $M$  with  $p > k - 2$ , and suppose that the image of  $f$  in  $DR(Y \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, k)$  is annihilated by  $H(\phi)$  for some polynomial  $H(T) = \sum_{j=0}^r A_j T^j \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ . Then for every integer  $n$  the congruences (5.3) hold.*

## 6. FIRST EXAMPLE

Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.4, suppose that  $\dim S_k(X \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = 1$  and that  $f \in S_k^{\text{wk-ex}}(X)$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of  $\phi_p$  on  $DR(X \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, k)$  is of the form

$$H_p(T) = T^2 - A_p T + p^{k-1}, \quad A_p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

If  $f = \sum a(n) q^n$  then (5.3) implies

$$p^{k-1} a(n) - p^{k-1} A_p a(n/p) + p^{2(k-1)} a(n/p^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(k-1)s}} \quad \text{if } p^s | n.$$

Dividing congruence by  $p^{k-1}$ , substituting  $np$  for  $n$ , and  $s+1$  for  $s$  we obtain

$$(6.1) \quad a(pn) - A_p a(n) + p^{k-1} a(n/p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(k-1)s}} \quad \text{if } p^s | n.$$

Consider the weak cusp form of level one and weight 12

$$f = E_4(z)^6 / \Delta(z) - 1464E_4(z)^3.$$

We cannot directly apply the theorem to  $f$ , since the modular curve of level 1 does belong to the class of  $X$  considered in §3. We can get round this in the usual way (cf. part (b) proof of [12, 5.2]): take  $X = X' = X(3)$ , and define  $DR(X(1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/6], k) = DR(X(3), k)^{GL(2, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})}$ , which is then a free  $\mathbb{Z}[1/6]$ -module of rank 2. For each  $p > 3$ ,  $DR(X(1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, 12)$  is annihilated by  $H_p(\phi) = \phi^2 - \tau(p)\phi + p^{11}$ , and one recovers, for  $p \geq 11$ , the congruences of the introduction. (With more care we could get congruences for small primes as well.) We also note that for this example, and others on congruence subgroups, one could replace the operator  $H_p(\phi)$  with  $T_p - \tau(p)$  where  $T_p$  is the Hecke operator acting on  $DR(X(1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, 12)$  (defined using correspondences in the usual way) and thereby avoid recourse to crystalline theory.

## 7. FERMAT GROUPS AND MODULAR FORMS

Modular function and modular forms on Fermat curves have been studied by D. Rohrlich [11] and T. Yang [16], among others. We follow here the notation of [16].

Let  $\Delta$  be the free subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by the matrices  $A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . One has that  $\Gamma(2) = \{\pm I\}\Delta$ . Given a positive integer  $N$ , the Fermat group  $\Phi(N)$  is defined to be the subgroup of  $\Delta$  generated by  $A^N$ ,  $B^N$ , and the commutator  $[\Delta, \Delta]$ . It is known that the modular curve  $X(\Phi(N))$  is isomorphic to the Fermat curve  $X^N + Y^N = 1$ . The group  $\Phi(N)$  is a congruence group only if  $N = 1, 2, 4$  and  $8$ .

Let  $N > 1$  be an odd integer. Denote by  $\Phi_0(N)$  the group generated by  $\Phi(N)$  and  $A$ . It is a subgroup of  $\Delta$  of index  $N$  and genus zero. (The other two genus zero index  $N$  subgroups of  $\Delta$  that contain  $\Phi(N)$  are generated by  $\Phi(N)$  and  $AB^{-1}$  and  $B$  respectively.) The associated modular curve  $X(\Phi_0(N))$  is a quotient of the Fermat curve, and is isomorphic to the curve

$$v^N = \frac{u}{1-u},$$

where  $u = X^N$  and  $v = \frac{X}{Y}$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{H}$  the complex upper half-plane. If  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{16} q^{-1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - q^{n-1/2}}{1 + q^n} \right)^8, \\ 1 - \tilde{\lambda}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{16} q^{-1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 + q^{n-1/2}}{1 + q^n} \right)^8 \end{aligned}$$

are modular functions for  $\Gamma(2)$ . Moreover, they are holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ , and  $\tilde{\lambda}(\tau) \neq 0, 1$  for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ . It follows that there exist holomorphic functions  $\tilde{x}(\tau)$  and  $\tilde{y}(\tau)$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ , such that  $\tilde{x}(\tau)^N = \tilde{\lambda}(\tau)$  and  $\tilde{y}(\tau)^N = 1 - \tilde{\lambda}(\tau)$ , so we have that

$$\tilde{x}(\tau)^N + \tilde{y}(\tau)^N = 1$$

and in fact both  $\tilde{x}(\tau)$  and  $\tilde{y}(\tau)$  are modular functions for  $\Phi(N)$ . We normalize  $\tilde{x}(\tau)$  and  $\tilde{y}(\tau)$  by setting

$$x(\tau) := (-1)^{\frac{1}{N}} 16^{\frac{1}{N}} \tilde{x}(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad y(\tau) := 16^{\frac{1}{N}} \tilde{y}(\tau).$$

Now,  $x(\tau)$  and  $y(\tau)$  have rational Fourier coefficients, and we have that

$$(7.1) \quad x(\tau)^N - y(\tau)^N = -16.$$

For  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and a (weakly) modular form  $f(\tau)$  of weight  $k$  define as usual the slash operator

$$(f|\gamma)(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma\tau).$$

A straightforward calculation [16, §2] shows

$$\begin{aligned} (x|A)(\tau) &= \zeta_N x(\tau) & (x|B)(\tau) &= \zeta_N x(\tau), \\ (y|A)(\tau) &= \zeta_N x(\tau) & (y|B)(\tau) &= y(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_N$  is a primitive  $N$ th root of unity. Hence

$$t(\tau) := \frac{x(\tau)}{y(\tau)}$$

is invariant under  $\Phi_0(N)$ .

The modular curve  $X(2)$  has three cusps: 0, 1, and  $\infty$ . There is one cusp of the curve  $X(\Phi_0(N))$  lying above each of the cusps 0 and 1, and  $N$  cusps  $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_N$  lying above the cusp  $\infty$ . As functions on  $X(\Phi_0(N))$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)$  and  $1 - \tilde{\lambda}(\tau)$  have simple poles at  $\infty_i$ , and they have zeros of order  $N$  at the cusps 0 and 1 respectively. The function  $t(\tau)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$ , nonzero at the cusps above infinity, has a pole of order one at the cusp 1, and a zero of order one at the cusp 0 (so  $t(\tau)$  is a Hauptmodul for  $X(\Phi_0(N))$ ).

Denote by  $S_3(\Phi_0(N))$  the space of cusp forms of weight 3 for  $\Phi_0(N)$ . It is well known that  $\theta_1(\tau) := (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau})^2$  is a modular form of weight 1 for  $\Delta$ . It has a zero at the (irregular) cusp 1 of order  $1/2$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of genus  $g$  such that  $-I \notin \Gamma$ . For  $k$  odd, Shimura [14, Theorem 2.25] gives the following formula for the dimension of  $S_k(\Gamma)$

$$\dim S_k(\Gamma) = (k-1)(g-1) + \frac{1}{2}(k-2)r_1 + \frac{1}{2}(k-1)r_2 + \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{e_i - 1}{2e_i},$$

where  $r_1$  is the number of regular cusp,  $r_2$  is the number of irregular cusps, and the  $e_i$  are the orders of elliptic points. Since  $\Phi_0(N)$  has no elliptic points ( $\Delta$  being free), it follows that  $\dim S_3(N) = \frac{N-1}{2}$ .

Define

$$(7.2) \quad f_i(\tau) := \theta_1^3(\tau) t^i(\tau) \frac{1}{16(1 - \tilde{\lambda}(\tau))}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ . The divisor of  $f_i(\tau)$  is

$$\mathrm{div}(f_i) = i(0) + \left(\frac{1}{2}N - i\right)(1) + \sum_{j=1}^N (\infty_j).$$

Hence  $\{f_i(\tau)\}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, \frac{N-1}{2}$ , form a basis of  $S_3(\Phi_0(N))$ . If  $i = \frac{N+1}{2}, \dots, N-1$ , then  $f_i(\tau)$  has a pole at the cusp 1, and since the cusp 1 is irregular the constant

Fourier coefficient is zero. It follows  $f_i(\tau) \in S_3^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Phi_0(N))$ . Since  $(t|B)(\tau) = \zeta_N t(\tau)$ , it follows that  $(f_i|B)(\tau) = \zeta_N^i f_i(\tau)$ .

### 8. $\ell$ -ADIC REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we define two closely related compatible families of  $\ell$ -adic Galois representations of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  attached to the space of cusp forms  $S_3(\Phi_0(N))$ . The first family  $\rho_{N,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is a  $\ell$ -adic realisation of the motive associated to the space of cusp forms  $S_3(\Phi_0(N))$  (which we recall has dimension  $(N-1)/2$ ). It is a special case of the second author's construction from [12, Section 5]. For a more detailed description see [9, Section 5].

To describe the second family, consider the elliptic surface fibred over the modular curve  $X(\Phi_0(N))$  defined by the affine equation

$$\mathcal{E}^N : Y^2 = X(X+1)(X+t^N),$$

together with the map

$$h : \mathcal{E}^N \rightarrow X(\Phi_0(N)),$$

mapping  $(X, Y, t) \mapsto t$ . It is obtained from the Legendre elliptic surface fibred over  $X(2)$

$$\mathcal{E} : Y^2 = X(X-1)(X-\lambda),$$

by substituting  $\lambda = 1 - t^N$  and  $X = X + 1$ . Note that  $\lambda$  corresponds to  $\lambda(\tau) = 16q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 128q + 704q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots$ , the usual lambda modular function on  $\Gamma(2)$ , and we can check directly that

$$\lambda(\tau) = 1 - t(\tau)^N.$$

The map  $h$  is tamely ramified along the cusps and elliptic points so following [9, Section 5] we may define  $\ell$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_{N,\ell}^* : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  as follows: let  $X(\Phi_0)^0$  be the complement in  $X(\Phi_0)$  of the cusps and elliptic points. Denote by  $i$  the inclusion of  $X(\Phi_0)^0$  into  $X(\Phi_0)$ , and by  $h' : \mathcal{E}_N \rightarrow X(\Phi_0(N))^0$  the restriction of  $h$ . For a prime  $\ell$  we obtain a sheaf

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell = R^1 h'_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

on  $X(\Phi_0)^0$ , and also a sheaf  $i_* \mathcal{F}_\ell$  on  $X(\Phi_0)$ . The action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on the  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -space

$$W_\ell = H_{et}^1(X(\Phi_0) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, i_* \mathcal{F}_\ell)$$

defines an  $\ell$ -adic representation  $\rho_{N,\ell}^* : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{N-1}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ .

Proposition 5.1 of [9] implies that the two representations  $\rho_{N,\ell}^*$  and  $\rho_{N,\ell}$  are isomorphic up to a twist by a quadratic character of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

### 9. JACOBI SUMS AND GRÖSSENCHARACTERS OF CYCLOTOMIC FIELD

We review some results of Weil [15]. Let  $m > 1$  be an integer,  $\zeta_m$  a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  relatively prime to  $m$ . For any integer  $t$  prime to  $m$ , let  $\sigma_t \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q})$  be the automorphism  $\zeta_m \rightarrow \zeta_m^t$ . Denote by  $q$  the norm of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , so that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Let  $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the  $m$ -th power residue symbol: for  $x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  prime to  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$  is the unique  $m$ -th root of unity such that

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^{\frac{q-1}{m}} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

It follows that  $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mu_m$  is a multiplicative character of order  $m$ .

**Definition** (Jacobi sums). For a positive integer  $r$  and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  we define

$$J_a(\mathfrak{p}) := (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{x_1 + \dots + x_r \equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \\ x_1, \dots, x_r \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}}} \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_1)^{a_1} \dots \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_r)^{a_r},$$

where sum ranges over complete set of representatives of congruence classes modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ . We extend the definition of  $J_a(\mathfrak{a})$  to all ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  prime to  $m$  by multiplicativity.

Let  $K$  be a number field.  $J_K = \prod'_\nu K_\nu^*$  its idele group of  $K$ . Recall that a *Grössencharacter* of  $K$  is any continuous homomorphism  $\psi: J_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , trivial on the group of principal ideles  $K^\times \subset J_K$ , and that  $\psi$  is unramified at a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  if  $\psi(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times) = 1$ .

Recall also the standard way to view a Grössencharacter  $\psi$  as a function on the nonzero ideals of  $K$ , as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K$ , let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and let  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \in J_K$  be the idele with component  $\pi$  at the place  $\mathfrak{p}$  and 1 at all other places. One defines

$$\psi(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{cases} \psi(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}) & \text{if } \psi \text{ is unramified at } \mathfrak{p}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and extends the definition to all nonzero ideals by multiplicativity.

**Definition.** The Hecke  $L$ -series attached to a Grössencharacter  $\psi$  of  $K$  is given by the Euler product over all primes of  $K$

$$L(\psi, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left( 1 - \frac{\psi(\mathfrak{p})}{N(\mathfrak{p})^s} \right)^{-1}.$$

**Theorem 9.1** (Weil, [15]). *For each  $a \neq (0)$  the function  $J_a(\mathfrak{a})$  is a Grössencharacter on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  of conductor dividing  $m^2$ . Its ideal factorisation is given by the formula*

$$(J_a(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathfrak{a}^{\omega_m(a)},$$

where

$$\omega_m(a) = \sum_{\substack{(t,m)=1 \\ t \pmod{m}}} \left[ \sum_{\rho=1}^r \left\langle \frac{ta_\rho}{m} \right\rangle \right] \sigma_t^{-1}$$

and  $\langle x \rangle$  denotes the fractional part of a rational number  $x$ .

We will need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 9.2.** *Let  $N > 1$  be an odd integer,  $k$  and  $d$  positive integers with  $d|N$ ,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  a rational prime,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{N/d}]$  above  $p$ , and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$  a prime of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{\frac{p^k-1}{d}}]$  above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Write  $(p^k - 1)/d = 2NN'/d$ . Let  $J_{(2, N/d)}(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $J_{(2N', NN'/d)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}})$  be Jacobi sums associated to the fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N/d})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\frac{p^k-1}{d}})$  with defining ideals  $2N/d$  and  $(p^k - 1)/d$  (i.e. the characters  $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\chi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}$  are of order  $2N/d$  and  $(p^k - 1)/d = 2NN'/d$ ). Then*

$$(J_{(2, N/d)}(\mathfrak{p}))^{2k} = J_{(2N', NN'/d)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}})^2.$$

*Proof.* Straightforward calculation shows that the character  $\chi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}^{N'}$  is the lift of  $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , i.e.  $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Norm}(x)) = \chi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}^{N'}(x)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{\frac{\rho^{k-1}}{d}}]/\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $\text{Norm}(x)$  is the norm from  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{\frac{\rho^{k-1}}{d}}]/\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{\frac{N}{d}}]/\mathfrak{p}$ .

Using the factorization of Jacobi sums by Gauss sums (see [3, 2.1.3]), the lemma then follows directly from the Davenport-Hasse theorem on lifted Gauss sums (see [3, 11.5.2]).  $\square$

## 10. TRACES OF FROBENIUS

To simplify notation, denote  $\mathcal{F} = i_*\mathcal{F}_\ell$ . The Lefschetz fixed point formula and standard facts about elliptic curves over finite fields gives the following theorem.

**Theorem 10.1.**  *$\text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q|W_\ell)$  may be computed as follows:*

(1)

$$\text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q|W_\ell) = - \sum_{t \in X(\Phi_0(N))(\mathbb{F}_q)} \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q|\mathcal{F}_t).$$

(2) *If the fiber  $\mathcal{E}_t^N$  is smooth, then*

$$\text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q|\mathcal{F}_t) = \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q|H^1(\mathcal{E}_t^N, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) = q + 1 - \#\mathcal{E}_t^N(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

(3) *If the fiber  $\mathcal{E}_t^N$  is singular, then*

$$\text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q|\mathcal{F}_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the fiber is split multiplicative,} \\ -1 & \text{if the fiber is nonsplit multiplicative,} \\ 0 & \text{if the fiber is additive.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 10.2.** *Let  $N > 1$  be an odd integer, and  $\ell$  a prime. The Galois representations  $\rho_{N,\ell}^*$  and  $\oplus_{d|N} J_{(2,N/d)}^2$  have the same local factors at every prime  $p \nmid 2N\ell$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be a positive integer,  $p$  be an odd prime, and  $q = p^k$  such that  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . Let  $\chi$  be any character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  of order  $2N$  (which exists since  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2N}$ ). We count the points on the elliptic surface  $\mathcal{E}^N$  (excluding all points at infinity):

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{E}^N(\mathbb{F}_q) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} (\chi^N(x(x+1)(x+t^N)) + 1) \\ &= q^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^N(x(x+1)) \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^N(x+t^N). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^N(x+t^N) &= \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} x_1=t^N \\ x_2=-x-t^N \end{smallmatrix} \right] = \chi^N(x) + \sum_{x_1+x_2=-x} \sum_{\chi_N \text{ of order } |N} \chi_N(x_1)\chi^N(-x_2) \\ &= \chi^N(x) + \chi^N(-1) \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{x_1+x_2=-x} \chi^{2i}(x_1)\chi^N(x_2). \end{aligned}$$



Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_i(x) &:= \chi^N(-1) \sum_{x_1+x_2=-x} \chi^{2i}(x_1)\chi^N(x_2) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1=x'_1 \cdot x \\ x_2=x'_2 \cdot x \end{bmatrix} = \chi^N(-1) \sum_{x'_1+x'_2=-1} \chi^{2i}(x)\chi^{2i}(x'_1)\chi^N(x)\chi^N(x'_2) \\
 &= \chi^N(-1)\chi^N(x)\chi^{2i}(x) \sum_{x'_1+x'_2=-1} \chi^{2i}(x'_1)\chi^N(x'_2) \\
 &= \chi^{2i}(x)\chi^N(x)J_i(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \#\mathcal{E}^N(\mathbb{F}_q) &= q^2 + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^N(x(x+1)) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i(1)\chi^{2i}(x)\chi^N(x) + \chi^N(x) \right) \\
 &= q^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i(1) \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^N(-x-1)\chi^N(-1)\chi^{2i}(x) + \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi^N(x+1) \right) \\
 &= q^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i(1)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The fibre  $\mathcal{E}_t^N$  of the elliptic surface  $\mathcal{E}^N$  is singular if and only if  $t = 0$  or  $t^N = 1$ . (In the calculation below, we refer to these  $t$  as bad, and the others as good). In the first case,  $\mathcal{E}_0^N : y^2 = (x+1)x^2$  has split multiplicative type. In the second case,  $\mathcal{E}_t^N : y^2 = x(x+1)^2$  is split multiplicative if  $\chi^N(-1) = 1$  (or equivalently if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ), and nonsplit multiplicative if  $\chi^N(-1) = -1$ . Denote by  $M$  the number of  $N$ th roots of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Theorem 10.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 Tr(Frob_q|W_\ell) &= - \sum_{t \in X(\Phi_0(N))(\mathbb{F}_q)} Tr(Frob_q|\mathcal{F}_t) \\
 &= \sum_{t \text{ good}} \#\mathcal{E}_t^N(\mathbb{F}_q) - (q+1) \cdot \#\{t \text{ good}\} - \sum_{t \text{ bad}} Tr(Frob_q|\mathcal{F}_t) \\
 &= \#\mathcal{E}^N(\mathbb{F}_q) + \#\{t \text{ good}\} - \sum_{t \text{ bad}} (\#\mathcal{E}_t^N(\mathbb{F}_q) - 1) \\
 &\quad - (q+1)\#\{t \text{ good}\} - (\chi^N(-1)M + 1) \\
 &= q^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i(1)^2 - (q-1) - M(q - \chi^N(-1)) \\
 &\quad - q(q-1-M) - (\chi^N(-1)M + 1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i(1)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2N}$  (so that  $p$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2N})$ ). It is enough to show that  $Tr(Frob_q|W_\ell) = \sum_{d|N} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} J_{(2,N/d)}(\mathfrak{p})^{2k}$ , where the second sum is over the primes of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2N/d})$  lying above  $p$ . Fix  $d|N$ . For any  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$  a prime of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\frac{p^k-1}{d}})$  above  $p$  the residual degree of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\frac{p^k-1}{d}})$  is  $k$  (since the order of  $p$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/d(p^k-1)\mathbb{Z})^\times$

is  $k$ ), hence  $\chi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}}$  is a character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  of order  $\frac{p^k-1}{d}$ . We can choose  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that

$$J_{\left(\frac{p^k-1}{N}, \frac{p^k-1}{2d}\right)}(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}})^2 = J_d(1)^2.$$

By Lemma 9.2 it follows

$$J_d(1)^2 = J_{(2, N/d)}(\mathfrak{p})^{2k},$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the prime of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N/d})$  below  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $J_{d_j}(1)^2$ 's are conjugate to each other for  $j = 1, \dots, N/d$  with  $(j, N/d) = 1$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{(j, N/d)=1} J_{d_j}(1)^2 = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ above } p} J_{(2, N/d)}(\mathfrak{p})^{2k}.$$

The claim follows after summing over  $d|N$ . The case  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$  is proved in a similar way.  $\square$

## 11. ATKIN AND SWINNERTON-DYER CONGRUENCES

We now apply results of §4 to obtain congruences of Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer type between the Fourier coefficients of the (weakly) modular forms  $f_i(\tau)$  (see §7). Using these congruences and the results of the previous section, we show that for primes  $p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$  the space  $S_3(\Phi_0(5)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  does not admit a  $p$ -adic Hecke eigenbasis. This provides a counterexample to Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer's original speculation.

Let  $p > 3$  be a prime such that  $p \nmid N$ . Set  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , write  $X = X(\Phi_0(N))$  and  $X' = X(2)$  for the extensions of the curves considered above to smooth proper curves over  $R$ . Let  $g : X \rightarrow X'$  be the finite morphism that extends the quotient map  $\Phi_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}$  (see proof of [12, Proposition 5.2 a])). Denote by  $W := DR(X, 3) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  de Rham space corresponding to this data. The action of  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on the space of cusp forms  $S_3(\Phi_0(N))$  extends to  $W$ : for  $h^\vee \in S_3(\Phi_0(N))^\vee$  and  $f \in S_3(\Phi_0(N))$  we have  $(h^\vee|B)(f) = h^\vee(f|B^{-1})$ . We write  $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N-1} W_i$ , where  $W_i$  is the eigenspace of  $B$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\zeta_N^i$ . Since  $(f_i|B)(\tau) = \zeta_N^i f_i(\tau)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$  and  $f_i(\tau) \in S_3^{\text{wk-ex}}(\Phi_0(N))$ , Theorem 4.3 implies that  $f_i(\tau) \in W_i$ . Let  $\phi$  be the linear Frobenius endomorphism of  $W$  defined in §5.

**Proposition 11.1.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ ,*

$$\phi(W_i) \subset W_{i \cdot p \bmod N}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $B\phi = \phi B^p$  (see [10, Section 4.4]), for  $f \in W_i$  we have

$$\phi(f)|B = \phi((f|B)^p) = \zeta_N^{ip} \phi(f),$$

and the claim follows.  $\square$

Define  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by  $\phi(f_i) = \alpha_i f_{i \cdot p \bmod N}$ .

**Proposition 11.2.**

$$\text{ord}_p(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, \frac{N-1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = \frac{N+1}{2}, \dots, N-1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Proposition 3.4 of [12] implies

$$\phi(S_3(\Phi_0(N))) \subset p^2 DR(X, 3).$$

Since the  $f_i$  are normalized, it follows that  $\text{ord}_p(\alpha_i) \geq 2$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, \frac{N-1}{2}$ . On the other hand, the determinant of  $\phi$  is  $\pm p^{2 \dim S_3(\Phi_0(N))} = \pm p^{N-1}$ , hence  $\text{ord}_p(\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{N-1}) = N-1$  and the claim follows.  $\square$

Write  $f_i(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_i(j) q^{\frac{j}{2}}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ . (Note that (7.2) implies  $a_i(1) = 1$ .) From the description of the action of  $\phi$  (5.1) on the de Rham space  $DR(X, 3)$  (and  $DR(X, 3)^{(p)}$  when  $f_i$  is a cusp form, see §2), we thus obtain:

**Corollary 11.3.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$  and any positive integer  $j$ ,*

$$\frac{p^2}{\alpha_i} a_i(j) \equiv a_{i \cdot p \bmod N}(pj) \pmod{p^{2(\text{ord}_p(j)+1)}}.$$

As an example, consider the following (weakly) modular forms of weight 3 for noncongruence subgroup  $\Phi_0(3)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tau) &= \eta(\tau/2)^{\frac{4}{3}} \eta(\tau)^{-2} \eta(2\tau)^{\frac{20}{3}} \\ &= \sum c_1(n) q^{\frac{n}{2}} = q^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{4}{3} q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{8}{9} q^{\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{176}{81} q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \dots \in S_3(\Phi_0(3)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(\tau) &= \eta(\tau/2)^{\frac{20}{3}} \eta(\tau)^{-10} \eta(2\tau)^{\frac{28}{3}} \\ &= \sum c_2(n) q^{\frac{n}{2}} = q^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{20}{3} q^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{200}{9} q^{\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{4720}{81} q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \dots \in S_3^{\text{wk}}(\Phi_0(3)). \end{aligned}$$

(Although  $f_2$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$ , there is another cusp at which it has a pole.) From Corollary 11.3 it follows that for a prime  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , there exist  $\alpha_p, \beta_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that if  $p^s | n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(pn) &\equiv \alpha_p c_2(n) \pmod{p^{2(s+1)}}, \\ c_2(pn) &\equiv \beta_p c_1(n) \pmod{p^{2(s+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $\alpha_p \beta_p = p^2$ , and  $\text{ord}_p(\alpha_p) = 2$ .

If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then for some  $\alpha_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  ( $\text{ord}_p(\alpha_p) = 2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(pn) &\equiv \frac{p^2}{\alpha_p} c_1(n) \pmod{p^{2(s+1)}}, \\ c_2(pn) &\equiv \alpha_p c_2(n) \pmod{p^{2(s+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $p > 3$  we have

$$c_2(pn) - A_p c_2(n) + \chi_3(p) p^2 c_2(n/p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2s}} \quad \text{if } p^s | n,$$

where  $A_p$  is the  $p$ -th Fourier coefficient of a certain CM newform in  $S_3(\Gamma_1(12))$ , and  $\chi_3$  is Dirichlet character of conductor 3 (and  $H_p(T) = T^2 - A_p T + \chi_3(p) p^2$ ).

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a noncongruence subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of finite index such that the modular curve  $X(\Gamma)$  has a model over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (see §2). Based on Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer's discovery, Li, Long and Yang made the precise conjecture (Conjecture 1.1 of [9]) that for each integer  $k \geq 2$ , there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that for every prime  $p \nmid M$  there is a basis of  $S_k(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  (a  $p$ -adic Hecke eigenbasis) consisting of  $p$ -integral forms  $h_i(\tau)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d := \dim S_k(\Gamma)$ , algebraic integers  $A_p(i)$ , and

characters  $\chi_i$  such that, for each  $i$ , the Fourier coefficients of  $h_i(\tau) = \sum_j a_i(j)q^{\frac{j}{\mu}}$  ( $\mu$  being the width of the cusp at infinity) satisfy the congruence relation

$$a_i(np) - A_p(i)a_i(n) + \chi_i(p)p^{k-1}a_i(n/p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{(k-1)(1+\text{ord}_p(n))}},$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 11.4.** *Let  $p$  be any prime congruent to 2 or 3 (mod 5). There is no basis of  $S_3(\Phi_0(5)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ , consisting of  $p$ -integral forms, satisfying the Atkin–Swinnerton-Dyer congruence relations for  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{g_1(\tau), g_2(\tau)\}$  is a basis satisfying ASD congruences at  $p$ . Theorem 10.2 implies that  $\rho_\ell$ , the  $\ell$ -adic representation attached to  $S_3(\Phi_0(5))$ , is isomorphic to a quadratic twist of Grössencharacter  $J_{(2,1)}^2 \oplus J_{(2,5)}^2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ . In particular, since  $p$  is inert in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ , we have that  $H_p(T) = T^4 \pm p^4$ . Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$b_i(p^4 m) \equiv \pm p^4 b_i(m) \pmod{p^6}, \text{ for } p \nmid m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $b_i$ 's are Fourier coefficients of  $g_i(\tau)$ . In particular  $p|b_i(p^4)$ .

Since  $g_i(\tau)$  satisfy ASD congruences, for some algebraic integer  $A_p(i)$  we have that  $b_i(p^k) \equiv A_p(i)b_i(p^{k-1}) \pmod{p}$ , for all  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that  $p|b_i(p)$  (if this were not the case, this would imply that  $p \nmid b_i(p^k)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ ). Hence the  $p$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $f_1(\tau)$  and  $f_2(\tau)$  is divisible by  $p$ . However, Proposition 11.2 implies that either  $\phi(f_1(\tau)) = \alpha_1 f_2(\tau)$  or  $\phi(f_2(\tau)) = \alpha_2 f_1(\tau)$ , and  $\text{ord}_p(\alpha_1) = \text{ord}_p(\alpha_2) = 0$ . It follows from Corollary 11.3 that  $p$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $f_1(\tau)$  or  $f_2(\tau)$  is not divisible by  $p$  (since  $a_i(1) = 1$ ), which is in contradiction with our assumption.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. A. O. L. Atkin, W.-C. W. Li, L. Long *On Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruence relations(2)* Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 2, 335–358.
2. A. O. L. Atkin, H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Modular forms on noncongruence subgroups* Combinatorics, (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIX, Univ. Californis, Los Angeles, 1968), Amer. Math. Soc., 1–25.
3. B.C. Berndt, R.J. Evans, K. S. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi sums*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998. xii+583 pp.
4. P. Cartier, *Groupes formels, fonctions automorphes et fonctions zeta des courbes elliptiques* Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome **2**, 291-299.
5. P. Deligne, *Equations différentielles a points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
6. B. Ditters, *Sur les congruences d'Atkin et de Swinnerton-Dyer*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B **282** (1976), no. 19, Ai, A1131A1134.
7. N.M. Katz  *$p$ -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms* Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1973, Vol. **350**, 69-190.
8. J. Kibelbek *On Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruence relations for noncongruence subgroups* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
9. W.-C. W. Li, L. Long, Z. Yang *On Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruence relations* J. Number Theory **113** (2005), no. 1, 117–148.
10. L. Long *On Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruence relations (3)* J. Number Theory **128** (2008), no. 8, 2413–2429.
11. D. Rohrlich, *Points at infinity on the Fermat curves* Invent. Math. **39** (1977), 95–127.
12. A. J. Scholl, *Modular forms and de Rham cohomology; Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruences* Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 49–77.
13. A. J. Scholl, *Motives for modular forms* Invent. Math. **100** (1990), no. 2, 419-430.

14. G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Kano Memorial Lectures, no. 1. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, no. 11.
15. A. Weil, *Jacobi sums as "Größencharaktere"* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **73**, (1952). 487-495.
16. T. Yang, *Cusp form of weight 1 associated to Fermat curves* Duke Math J. **83** (1996), 141-156.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENICKA CESTA 30, ZAGREB, CROATIA,

*E-mail address:* `mkazal@math.hr`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB,

*E-mail address:* `a.j.scholl@dpms.cam.ac.uk`