

Title: Predicting of biological targets, admet properties and QSAR studies in a series of entactogen substances of phenylethylamine class

In: Poster Presentation on Monday, 22 May 2017, 12:00-13:30

Type: Poster

By: JADRIJEVIC-MLADAR TAKAC, Milena (Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Zagreb, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Zagreb, Croatia)

Co-author(s): Milena Jadrijevic-Mladar Takac: Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
Tin Takac: Faculty of Chemical Engineering, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia, ()

Abstract:

Backgrounds Many of new and formerly obscure compounds, including entactogens, have appeared on the illicit drug market. Their rapid appearance and largely unknown character put them into a legal gray area. **Aims** The aim of this study was to predict an ADMET properties of selected entactogens in order to get more insights in their safety profile. **Methods** Entactogens of phenylethylamine class (n = 25) were evaluated in QSAR studies using computed molecular descriptors (LogP, Mr, TPSA, V) and ADMET properties predicted by ADMET Predictor™ 8.0 (Simulations Plus, USA). Using Swiss Target Prediction software the sodium dependent serotonin or dopamine transporters and trace amine-associated receptors were revealed as targets with the highest probability for majority of these substances. **Results** The most significant correlations were obtained between ADMET Risk vs. CYP Risk (R = 0.9997); MLogP vs. TOX hERG (cardiotoxicity) and TOX ATTP (Acute toxicity in Tetrahymena pyriformis) with R = 0.7511 and R = 0.7601, respectively. These molecules are both CYP inhibitors (1A2, 2D6) and CYP substrates (1A2, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6 and 2E1). The following toxicological parameters were also predicted: ADMET risk 1 – 4 (codes 1A, 2C19, 2D6, Mu or Hp); CYP risk 1 - 2.72 (codes 1A2, 2D6 and 2C19) and TOX risk 0 - 3.446 with codes of mutagenicity (Mu) and hepatotoxicity (Hp). Mu was predicted for MDMEO or 1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-N-methoxypropan-2-amine (14) and MDOH or 3,4-methylenedioxy-N-hydroxyamphetamine (15) while both Hp and Mu were predicted for MDCPM or 3,4-methylenedioxy-N-cyclopropylmethylamphetamine (18). **Summary/Conclusion** MDCPM was with worst toxicological profile among all investigated entactogen molecules in this study.